

Group Art Unit: 2833 Examiner: GILMAN, ALEXANDER

PETITION TO WITHDRAW

In Re PATENT APPLICATION Of:

Applicant(s) : Po-Chao Tan et al.

Serial No. : 10/685,451

Filed : October 16, 2003 ) **HOLDING OF ABANDONMENT** ) **AND REQUEST FOR** 

For : TAIL STRUCTURE OF ) REVIEWING APPLICANT'REPLY

ELECTRIC WIRE )

Docket NO. : OP-092000246 ) \_\_\_\_\_

**ATTENTION: GROUP DIRECTOR** 

Commissioner of Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is a Petition requesting that the Notice of Abandonment mailed November 1, 2004, be withdrawn, and that the prosecution of the above-identified application be continued.

Applicants assert that an amendment has been filed on July 20, 2004 via fax number 703-872-9306 provided in Examiner's Office Action, and certificate of transmission was made by Yi-Wen Tseng and an auto-reply facsimile was received from USPTO on the same date as shown in the attachment. The records prove that Applicants have timely replied the Office Action dated April 22, 2004; therefore it is hereby requested that abandonment of the application be withdrawn, and the prosecution of the application be continued.

It is believed that no fee is required to file this Petition. However, if it is determined that a petition fee is required, authorization is hereby given by (Yi-Wen Tseng) to charge such petition fee to Deposit Account, Number 503303, and it is requested that the undersigned be advised of such debit accordingly.

Respectfully submitted,

December 29, 2004 Date

December 29, 2004 Date Isu la

**Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission** 



TO:

Fax Sender at 7035914934

**Fax Information** 

Date Received:

7/20/2004 11:00:07 AM [Eastern Daylight Time]

Total Pages: 13 (including cover page)

ADVISORY: This is an automatically generated return receipt confirmation of the facsimile transmission received by the Office. Please check to make sure that the number of pages listed as received in Total Pages above matches what was intended to be sent. Applicants are advised to retain this receipt in the unlikely event that proof of this facsimile transmission is necessary. Applicants are also advised to use the certificate of facsimile transmission procedures set forth in 37 CFR 1.8(a) and (b), 37 CFR 1.6(f). Trademark Applicants, also see the Trademark Manual of Examining Procedure (TMEP) section 306 et seq.

Received Cover Page

=====>

			FAIRFAX, V. TELEPHONE (703) 591-46	NS BATTLE LN A. 22033 EFFAX: 134
	Facsim	ile Tras	esmilta	d
·o:	United States Patent and Trademark Office Examiner Gilman, Alexande	Fax:	703-872-9306	
From:	Yi-Wen Tseng for Inventors Po-Chao Tan et al.	Oate:	2004/7/20	
Docking No:	OP-092000246	Pgs. (naving this page	# 13	
Serial No:	10/865,451	Re:	Amendment	<del></del>
□ Urgent	☑ For Review ☐ Ploase Co	mment 🖾 Please Reply	☐ Confirmation by	y Mail
	Certificate or certify that this correspondent to the Correspondent of t		- transmitted to the U.S	6. Patent and
		Yi	-Wen Tseng	
	_	Yi-Wen Tsen	(Signature)	
				<b></b>
ONFIDENTIAL		ney be protected by legal privil disclosure, copying, distributi	ege. If you are not the inten on or use of this facsimhe or	ded addressee (or any attachment is



YI-WEN TSENG 4331 STEVENS BATTLE LN FAIRFAX, V.A. 22033 TELEPHONE/FAX: (703) 591-4934

# Facsimile Transmittal

То:	United States Patent and Trademark Office Examiner Gilman, Alexander	Fax:	703-872-9306				
From:	Yi-Wen Tseng for Inventors Po-Chao Tan et al.	Date:	2004/7/20				
Docking No:	OP-092000246	Pgs. (including this page):	13				
Serial No:	10/865,451	Re:	Amendment				
☐ Urgent	☑ For Review ☐ Please Comment	☑ Please Reply	☐ Confirmation by Mail				
ENCLOSURES:	Amendment (12 Pages)						
	Certificate of	f Transmission					
	certify that this correspondence is ice (Facsimile No. (703) 872-9306		insmitted to the U.S. Patent and				
	Yi-Wen Tseng						
		i-Wen Trene					

## **CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE:**

This facsimile and any attachments are confidential and may be protected by legal privilege. If you are not the intended addressee (or authorized to receive for the addressee), be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of this facsimile or any attachment is prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify us immediately by returning it to the sender and delete this copy from your system. Thank you for your cooperation.

(Signature)

Group Art Unit: 2833 Examiner: GILMAN, ALEXANDER

In Re PATE	NT A	APPLICATION Of:	•		
Applicant(s)	:	Po-Chao Tan et al.	)		
Serial No.	:	10/685,451	) )		
Filed	:	October 16, 2003	)	AMENDMENT	
For	:	TAIL STRUCTURE OF ELECTRIC WIRE	) )		

Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment Commissioner of Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Docket NO. : OP-092000246

Sir:

Responsive to the Office Action dated April 22, 2004, please amend the above-identified application as follows:

a buffering structure wrapping a junction between the hand-held portion and the soft electric wire, the buffering structure having a predetermined softness allowing the soft electric wire wrapped thereby to bend therewith;

a removable soft layer wrapping at least a portion of the hand-held portion, the entire buffering structure and at least a portion of the electric wire.

- 7. (New) The testing probe according to Claim 6, wherein the hand-held portion is fabricated from hard insulation material.
- 8. (New) The testing probe according to Claim 6, further comprising a conductive filament extending from the measuring terminal to a bare portion of the soft electric wire within the hand-held portion.
- 9. (New) The testing probe according to Claim 6, wherein the removable soft layer is secured to the hand-held portion by at least one snapping mechanism.

# REMARKS

This is in response to the Office Action dated April 22, 2004. In the Office Action, Claims 1-5 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over Nightingale et al. in view of O'Hara et al. or Domingues. The rejection is respectfully traversed according to the following reasons. Claim 1 was amended to delete the unnecessary word "improved" only. New Claims 6-9 were added without adding any new matter. It is respectfully submitted that, as amended, all the pending claims are patentable.

# Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

Claims 1-5 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nightingale et al. in view of O'Hara et al. or Domingues.

## **Cited References**

Nightingale et al.

Nightingale et al. discloses an exterior design of a head assembly for a switchable electrical test probe. The design includes a terminal portion, a switching portion on which a switch is formed, a tail portion of the switching portion, and a wire protruding from the tail portion. Nightingale et al. does not disclose the electric wire being a soft electric wire. Nightingale et al. does not specify any of these portions being a hard terminal, a buffering structure, or a buffering structure of the junction of the hard terminal and the soft electric wire, either. Nightingale et al. does not disclose any "snapping mechanism" at all. It is understood that a disclosure of similar exterior

feature does not inherently teach the material and function of such exterior feature. For example, the Examiner contended that Nightingale et al. discloses the hard terminal, but it appears to the Applicants that nowhere does Nightingale et al. show such teaching.

More importantly, as understood, the intended purpose of a design patent is the exterior feature as shown in the submitted drawings. According to *MPEP* 2143.01, if the proposed modification would render the prior invention being modified unsatisfactory for its intended purpose, then there is no suggestion or modification to make the proposed modification. *In re Gordon*, 733 F.2d 900, 221 USPQ 1125 (Fed. Cir. 1984). Should Nightingale et al. be modified by incorporating any soft layer to cover a substantial portion of the exterior feature, the intended purpose of the design patent is rendered unsatisfactory, and there is no suggestion or motivation to make such proposed modification.

#### O'Hara et al.

O'Hara et al. discloses an electric test probe having integral strain relief and ground connection. As cited by the Examiner, O'Hara et al. teaches "an insulating material 28, such as a thermoplastic elastomer, is molder around a substantial portion of the elongate electrically conductive hollow body 12 and a portion of the conductive cable 26 to provide electric insulation and strain relief" (col. 2, lines 65-69 as cited by the Examiner). Indeed, the insulating material 28 extends across the hollow conductive body 12 and the conductive cable 26. However, neither the hollow

- 5 -

conductive body 12 nor the conductive cable 26 being a hard terminal, buffering

structure or a soft electric wire. As a matter of fact, without teaching the hard terminal,

the soft electric wire, and particularly the buffering structure wrapping around a

junction between the hard terminal and the soft electric wire, there is no suggestion or

motivation that such insulation material 28 can be used to wrap around the hard

terminal extending across the buffering structure, so as to enhance the connection

strength between the hard terminal and the soft electric wire.

Domingues

Domingues teaches an immersible electrical coupling, of which an inner

molding 32 made of elastomer is applied onto the inner sheath 17 and the metal part

8. An outer molding 33 made of an elastomer is applied over the cable insulating

sheath 13, the metal parts 16, 12, 8 and 10, and against the exposed annulus of the

metal part 9. The molding 33 thus covers all of the metal part 9 which surrounded by

the external coupling sleeve 31. (col. 2, lines 58-65). The structure as disclosed by

Domingues does not even include a buffering structure and a soft electric wire, there is

not even an implication that such molding 32 or 33 can be used as a soft layer extends

across the buffering structure to the soft electric wire.

Claim 1, 4 and 5

The Examiner contended:

"With regard to claims 1, 4, 5, Nightingale et al (US Des. 344,661) disclose tail structure of an electric wire, comprising a hard terminal with one end connected to a soft electric wire; a buffering structure wrapping around a junction of the hard terminal of the hard terminal and the soft electric wire;

Nightingale et al explicitly do **not** disclose soft layer wrapping around the hard terminal, wherein the soft layer extends across the buffering structure to the soft electric wire, such that the buffering structure and a part of the soft electric wire are wrapped thereby.

O'Hara et al. (US 5,061,892) disclose (col. 2, lines 64-68) the soft layer (made from plastic) extends across the buffering structure to the soft electric wire.

Domingues (US 4,790,768) discloses (col. 2, lines 59-62) that soft layer (made of elastomer, which can be a rubber) extends across the buffering structure to the soft electric wire.

Firstly, as mentioned above, Nightingale et al. disclose an ornamental design for a test probe. Such disclosure does not provide the information regarding the material and the functions of any portion of the test probe apart from the switchable feature as shown in Figures 1-3. Nightingale et al. fail to teach any portion of the test probe being a hard terminal, Nightingale et al. do not specifically discloses such electric wire being a soft electric wire either. Nightingale et al. also fail to teach a junction between the hard terminal and the electric wire. Further, as stated by the Examiner, Nightingale et al. fail to teach the soft layer which wraps around the hard

-7-

terminal and extends across the buffering structure, such that the buffering structure and a part of the soft electric wire is wrapped thereby.

O'Hara et al. teache an insulation material covering a conductive hollow body and a conductive cable. O'Hara et al. do not disclose the soft layer **extend across the buffering structure to the soft electric wire**, for O'Hara et al. do not even teach the buffering structure and the soft electric wire at all.

Domingue teaches inner and outer moldings 31 and 32 covering as sheath and metal parts. Domingue does not teach any soft electric wire, hard terminal or the buffering structure at all. Therefore, Domingues does not teach soft layer extend across the buffering structure to the soft electric wire.

In fact, none of the cited references, Nightingale et al., O'Hara et al., and Domingue, individually or in combination, teaches "a soft layer wrapping around the hard terminal, wherein the soft layer extends across the buffering structure to the soft electric wire, such that the buffering structure and a part of the soft electric wire are wrapped thereby. That is, none of them teaches two layers structure including the outer soft layer wrapping the inner buffering structure so that the connection strength between the hard material and the soft electric wire is thus enhanced to prevent from breaking or peeling the soft electric wire off by iterative stretch, folding and bending operations, and the folding and bending capability of the testing probe is doubled and the lifetime thereof is increased (page 3, line 24 through page 4, line 2 of the present application).

To establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness, three basic criteria must be met. First, there must be suggestion or motivation, either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art, to modify the reference or the combine reference teachings. Second, there must be a reasonable expectation of success. Finally, the prior art reference (or references when combined) must teach or suggest all the claimed limitations.

There is not any suggestion or desirability for combining Nightingale et al. with either O'Hara et al. or Domingue. Further, even if Nightingale et al. should be modified or combined by the teaching of O'Hara et al. or Domingue, no reasonable expectation of success is foreseeable. Thirdly, the combination does not teach or suggest all the claimed limitations, particularly the hard terminal, the buffering structure, and a part of the soft electric being wrapped by the soft layer. Therefore, the Examiner does not meet with the requirement of establishing a *prima facie* case of obviousness. The rejection over Claims 1, 4 and 5 are thus respectfully traversed.

#### Claim 2

Claim 2 is a dependent claim of the patentable Claim 1 and is believed patentable.

#### Claim 3

The Examiner contended:

With regard to claim 3, Nightingale et al when modified by O'Hara et al. or Domingue disclose (Nightingale et al.) that the hard terminal terminal includes a snapping mechanism protruding therefrom.

The Applicants cannot find any teaching of the snapping mechanism protruding from the hard terminal from Nightingale et al., O'Hara et al. or Domingue. It will be appreciated that the Examiner would kindly specify such teaching from the above cited reference for supporting the rejection. Further, even if such teaching can be found in any of the above references, a hole formed therein to engage with the snapping mechanism is not disclosed at all. Therefore, again, a *prima facie* case of obviousness is not established, and the rejection is respectfully traversed.

# **Newly Added Claims**

#### Claim 6

Neither Nightingale et al., nor O'Hara et al., nor Domingue teach a buffering structure wrapping a junction between the hand-held portion and the soft electric wire, the buffering structure having a predetermined softness allowing the soft electric wire wrapped thereby to bend therewith, and a removable soft layer wrapping at least a portion of the hand-held portion, the entire buffering structure and at least a portion of the electric wire.

Although it is not explicitly disclosed in the specification, the removable feature of the soft layer as claimed in Claim 6 and the snapping mechanism as claimed in Claim 3 allows the user to replace the soft layer should it is worn out or damaged, such that lifetime of the test probe can be prolonged.

## Claim 7



In view of the foregoing, the application is believed to be in condition for allowance. Entry of the amendments and Issuance of a Notice of Allowance is therefore respectfully requested. If any additional fee is required, please charge Deposit Account Number 502751.

Respectfully submitted,

July 20\_2004 Date

July 20, 2004 Date PorChao Tan

Yen Life

AMENDMENT

10/885,451